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This helpful book gives a comprehensive tour of all the key points that any aspiring dissertation writer needs to consider before taking the plunge. Little is assumed and the authors carefully explain exactly what a dissertation is and how to set out the foundations of a good piece of work. These are necessary steps; the reasons for what can sometimes seem arcane academic habits are often forgotten or tutors may simply assume that the rules are so obvious that they do not merit a mention. The core of the book consists of five chapters which outline and analyse the advantages and disadvantages of different research methodologies. This middle section of the book was particularly well done; it would be all too easy to simply repeat standard methodological recipes, but the authors succeed in presenting the material in a fresh way which is firmly tied to the needs of undergraduate researchers.

At the same time there is also plenty of practical advice on what might be called the ‘housekeeping’ of research; making appointments and contacts with potential interviewees, managing notes, as well as a very clear explanation of how to make effective online search strategies. All of these things are not obvious to the beginner and need to be taught. If there is a reservation to be made, it might be that the book seems to assume that most undergraduates will be write a dissertation based on empirical research, so although more theoretical types of dissertation are covered, there is understandably less detail about them. A few more examples of student writing would also have helped make some of the lessons more easily accessible.

Advice is given on how to develop an ‘academic voice’ and avoiding plagiarism; this is all helpful, but perhaps many undergraduates need more help with the difficult skills of academic writing. This book however, will take them a good deal of the way towards delivering high quality work. The inclusion of advice as to how to make use of the dissertation and a reminder of the skills which students have gained may be obvious and common practice now, but I certainly wish it had been the norm when I was an undergraduate.

Perhaps the book which is now needed from these authors is a companion volume, aimed at tutors, and focussing on how to teach undergraduates the skills needed to research and write a dissertation.

David Abbott, Open University, London Region
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out the context for entrepreneurialism and organizational change in higher education. Drawing on Clark’s foundational work in the late 1990s, Shattock argues that entrepreneurialism should not be understood only in economic terms, but as a ‘reflection both of institutional adaptiveness to a changing environment and of the capacity of universities to produce innovation through research and new ideas.’ An important component of success is the fostering and support of ‘intrapreneurs’, individuals who innovate within the system. One of the conclusions of the case studies is that while one might anticipate that private universities with independent funding would be at the forefront of entrepreneurial activity, it is in fact usually state funded institutions that are more likely to provide such ‘intellectual dynamism’.

Focussing on financial issues, Gareth Williams shows that institutional entrepreneurial activities are encouraged when state funding is tight but not inadequate, when income earned from new initiatives is returned to the producers of the ideas, and when a commercial culture is acceptable to a significant number of academic staff. Conversely, entrepreneurialism can be discouraged when government funding is ‘too generous’. After a further chapter by the volume’s editor on research, technology and knowledge transfer, Paul Temple addresses teaching and learning which are often overlooked from an entrepreneurial perspective.

The next chapter, also by Shattock, evaluates human resource management in a number of different national contexts, and reaches the intriguing—if slightly worrying—conclusion that in general there is ‘too much comfort’ in university reward structures. Mora and Vieira assess university organisational structures and governance and offer a framework for comparison, while Kwiek’s contribution covers European studies and focuses on the role of the ‘strengthened steering core’. Martinez and Kitaev unpack the implicit conflation of internationalisation with entrepreneurialism, showing them to be two distinct processes. Lambert’s tabular presentation of the impediments, inhibitors and barriers to university entrepreneurialism is particularly helpful, and will be of interest to both policy makers and academics.

Rinne and Koivula deal with how universities and their staff stimulate and respond to change, while Shattock’s final chapter sets out the policy conclusions that the contributors have drawn from the project. By ‘drilling down into institutional case studies’, he suggests, it is possible to provide an alternative and more nuanced picture of organisational change which can offer ‘a corrective’ to some of the conclusions reached in earlier policy documents.

As an academic familiar with these debates only in as much as they appear to percolate down from university management, I found it interesting to learn of the original research that lies behind decision making and read about other universities around Europe.